COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 3299-02

Bill No.: Perfected HCS for HJR 43

Subject: Constitutional Amendments; Property, Real and Personal; State Tax

Commission; Taxation and Revenue - Property

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 12, 2008

Bill Summary: Would submit to the voters a constitutional amendment altering the

rollback requirement for the Hancock amendment.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	00	00	00	
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 3299-02

Bill No. Perfected HCS for HJR 43

Page 2 of 6 March 12, 2008

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 3299-02 Bill No. Perfected HCS for HJR 43 Page 3 of 6 March 12, 2008

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** (SOS) provided the following response.

Many joint resolutions are considered by the General Assembly that would require the SOS to pay for publishing in local newspapers the full text of each statewide ballot measure. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. Funding for this item is adjusted each year depending upon the election cycle with \$1.6 million historically appropriated in even numbered fiscal years and \$100,000 appropriated in odd numbered fiscal years. The appropriation has historically been an estimated appropriation because the final cost is dependent upon the number of ballot measures approved by the General Assembly and the initiative petitions certified for the ballot. In FY 2007, at the August and November elections, there were 6 statewide Constitutional Amendments or ballot propositions that cost \$1.2 million to publish (an average of \$193,000 per issue). Therefore, the Secretary of State's office assumes, for the purposes of this fiscal note, that it should have the full appropriation authority it needs to meet the publishing requirements. However, because these requirements are mandatory, we reserve the right to request funding to meet the cost of our publishing requirements if the Governor and the General Assembly change the amount or eliminate the estimated nature of our appropriation.

The SOS stated that this proposal could reduce tax revenues to local libraries but could not estimate an amount.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue**, the **Office of Administration**, **Administrative Hearing Commission**, **Linn State Technical College**, the **Metropolitan Community Colleges**, the **City of Centralia**, and the **City of Columbia** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact to their organizations.

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development**, the **City of Kansas City**, and the **City of St. Louis** assumed a previous version of this proposal would have no fiscal impact to their organizations.

Officials from the **State Tax Commission** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization but could have a negative impact on local governments.

L.R. No. 3299-02 Bill No. Perfected HCS for HJR 43 Page 4 of 6 March 12, 2008

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Officials from the **City of Gladstone** assumed a previous version of this proposal would require the City to expend approximately \$14,000 for each election required to obtain voter approval of each tax levy.

Officials from the **Office of Administration, Division of Budget and Planning** (BAP) assumed this proposal would have no fiscal impact to their organization. BAP officials noted that the proposal would, if approved by the voters and implemented, remove the maximum authorized levy and the adjustment for changes in the general price level for local government tax rates. Growth in property tax receipts would be curtailed for counties and other political subdivisions, thereby decreasing the amount of local effort used in funding schools and increasing the amount of state funding needed for the state's foundation formula. BAP officials deferred to the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education for an estimate of the fiscal impact to the state foundation formula.

Officials from the **City of West Plains** assumed a previous version of the proposal, if passed by the voters and implemented, would further restrict city tax levy rates, reducing city revenues.

Officials from **St. Louis County** assume this proposal would have an unknown ipact on their organization.

Officials from the **City of Lake Ozark** provided a response to a previous version of the proposal but did not estimate a fiscal impact.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** (DESE) assume the proposed constitutional change would require a school district to insure that the current tax levy produces no more revenue than produced the prior year adjusted for the general price level and new construction and improvements. If a district had voluntarily reduced the tax rate the prior year, the district could not raise the tax levy back to that voted levy without a new vote. The HJR does not appear to increase the cost of the public school foundation funding formula.

Officials from the **Parkway School District** assume this proposal, if approved by the voters and implemented, would result in revenue reductions of \$18.5 million.

Officials from **Clinton County** assume this proposal could result in unknown losses to local governments.

L.R. No. 3299-02 Bill No. Perfected HCS for HJR 43 Page 5 of 6 March 12, 2008

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight notes that this proposal would submit a constitutional amendment to the voters. If approved by the voters and implemented it could have a negative impact on property tax revenues of political subdivisions. Oversight assumes that any fiscal impact resulting from this proposal would be based on voter approval and future action by the governing bodies of the local governments, and will show no cost or revenue in this fiscal note.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2009 (10 Mo.)	FY 2010	FY 2011
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2009 (10 Mo.)	FY 2010	FY 2011
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 3299-02 Bill No. Perfected HCS for HJR 43 Page 6 of 6 March 12, 2008

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State Office of Administration

Administrative Hearing Commission Division of Budget and Planning

Department of Economic Development

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Department of Revenue

State Tax Commission

Clinton County

St. Louis County

City of Columbia

City of Centralia

City of Gladstone

City of Kansas City

City of Lake Ozark

City of St. Louis

City of West Plains

Linn State Technical College

Metropolitan Community Colleges

Parkway School District

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 12, 2008